



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/654,667   | 09/04/2003  | Kenneth Gould        | 2816-026            | 5369             |
| 22208 7590 09/29/2009<br>The Marbury Law Group, PLLC<br>11800 SUNRISE VALLEY DRIVE<br>SUITE 1000<br>RESTON, VA 20191 |             |                      |                     |                  |
| EXAMINER<br>JAKOVAC, RYAN J  |             |                      |                     |                  |
| ART UNIT   |             | PAPER NUMBER         |                     |                  |
| 2445   |             |                      |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE  |             | DELIVERY MODE        |                     |                  |
| 09/29/2009   |             | PAPER                |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/654,667

**Applicant(s)**

GOULD ET AL.

**Examiner**

RYAN J. JAKOVAC

**Art Unit**

2445

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RYAN J. JAKOVAC.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) ELLIOT LIGHT.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 36-41.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jones, Williams.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The prior art was discussed in regards to Williams and the potential joint ownership of Time Warner. The claim objections and rejections were discussed. Potential amendments were discussed. No agreement was reached regarding patentability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/NIVEK SRIVASTAVA/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2445